
Board's Consideration of Investment Management Arrangements

Bernstein Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") is subject to Section 15 of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Section 15 provides that any investment advisory agreement with a registered investment company such as the Fund may continue in effect for a period of more than two years from the date of its execution, only so long as such continuance is specifically approved at least annually by the board of directors (or by vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the investment company). Pursuant to this requirement, the Fund's Board of Directors, including the Directors who are not interested persons of the Fund (the "Independent Directors"), unanimously approved the continuation of the Investment Management Agreement between the Fund, on behalf of the International Strategic Equities Portfolio, International Small Cap Portfolio, and Small Cap Core Portfolio (each, a "Portfolio" and collectively, the "Portfolios") of the Fund, and AllianceBernstein L.P. (the "Adviser")(the "Investment Management Agreement") at a meeting held on October 29-30, 2019.

The following discussion describes the considerations in connection with the Board's review of the Investment Management Agreement.

In connection with the annual review of the continuation of the Investment Management Agreement between the Fund and the Adviser, counsel to the Independent Directors sent a letter to the Adviser dated August 14, 2019, that contained a list of information requested by the Independent Directors to conduct their annual review. The Board of Directors, including the Independent Directors, met in person and received and evaluated extensive materials relating to the continuation of the Investment Management Agreement from the Adviser during meetings in September and October 2019. In addition, the Board received materials from the Senior Analyst and an independent fee consultant as described below. On September 26, 2019, the Board of Directors held an in-person meeting to discuss its review of the Investment Management Agreement and the materials the Directors had been provided. At that meeting, the Independent Directors met separately with their independent counsel and the Senior Analyst and the independent fee consultant in executive sessions. Following the September 26, 2019 meeting, the Independent Directors, through counsel, requested certain additional information by means of a letter from their independent counsel dated October 2, 2019, and the Adviser provided certain additional information by means of a letter dated October 17, 2019. The Independent Directors held a telephonic meeting on October 21, 2019 with their independent counsel and the Senior Analyst to discuss the contract renewal materials and supplemental materials provided in response to the Board's request. On October 29-30, 2019, the Board of Directors held an in-person meeting to continue their review of the Investment Management Agreement. During this meeting, the Adviser provided further information to the Board relating to contract renewal, and the Independent Directors also met separately with counsel to the Independent Directors as well as the Senior Analyst to review the contract renewal materials provided by the Adviser and the materials prepared by the Senior Analyst. At the conclusion of this meeting, the Board approved the continuation of the Investment Management Agreement for an additional annual term as described below.

In approving the Investment Management Agreement, the Board, including the Independent Directors, considered all information it deemed reasonably necessary to evaluate the terms of the Investment Management Agreement and considered whether the Agreement would be in the best interests of the Fund. In particular, the Board considered the information that was provided to them by the Adviser in response to their requests, as well as information prepared by the Senior Analyst and the independent fee consultant at the request of the Board. The Fund's Senior Analyst assists the Board (as well as the boards of other funds sponsored by the Adviser) in evaluating investment management agreements and certain other plans and agreements pursuant to which the Adviser or its affiliates provide services to the Funds. The Board also considered other information provided to the Board in connection with the September 26, 2019, and October 29-30, 2019 meetings and throughout the past year.

The information considered by the Board included information with respect to the nature, extent and quality of services provided, investment performance, fees and expenses, profitability, economies of scale, and fall-out benefits and other revenue.

In the Board's consideration of the factors discussed below, no single factor was considered in isolation or to be determinative to the decision of the Board to approve the Investment Management Agreement. Rather, the Board concluded, in light of a weighing and balancing of all factors considered and in the exercise of the Directors' business judgment, that it was in the best interests of the Fund to approve the Investment Management Agreement including the fees to be charged for services thereunder, as summarized below.

Fees and Expenses

The Board, including the Independent Directors, compared the fees and expense ratios of each Portfolio (before and after any fee waivers and expense reimbursements) against fees and expense ratios of a peer group of funds with similar

investment objectives (“peer group”). Both the peer group and the funds within the peer group, with respect to the fee and expense data, were available from Strategic Insight, an independent provider of investment company data. The Senior Analyst also performed analyses of the advisory fees and other Portfolio expenses, and compared such analyses to the Portfolios’ peer groups. In addition, the Board received and considered information from an independent fee consultant regarding the fees and expenses of the Portfolios as well as their investment performance.

The Board also received and considered information about the services rendered, and the fee rates charged, to other clients advised by the Adviser, including information about any recent advisory fee changes with respect to other investment companies advised by the Adviser. The Board noted the differences between the services provided to the Portfolios in comparison to those provided to other types of clients, including institutional clients and other investment companies for which the Adviser acted as subadviser, and the differences in the entrepreneurial and other risks borne by the Adviser in serving the Portfolios compared to other types of clients.

On the basis of its review and consideration of the fees as described above and the Board’s consideration of the other factors described below, and in light of the Adviser’s agreement to continue fee waivers and/or expense caps applicable to the International Small Cap and Small Cap Core Portfolios, the Board concluded that the contractual advisory fees as proposed were reasonable.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services Provided

The Board, including the Independent Directors, considered the nature, quality and extent of services performed by the Adviser and its affiliates gained from their experience as Directors of the Fund, their overall confidence in the Adviser’s integrity and competence they have gained from that experience, and the Adviser’s initiative in identifying and raising potential issues with the Directors. The Board also considered the Adviser’s responsiveness, frankness and attention to concerns raised by the Directors from time to time, including the Adviser’s willingness to consider and implement organizational changes designed to improve investment results and the services provided to the Portfolios. The Board also considered the scope and quality of the Adviser’s investment management capabilities, other resources dedicated to performing its services, the quality of its compliance, administrative and other services provided to the Portfolios and the background and experience of the Adviser’s senior management. The Board reviewed the qualifications, backgrounds and responsibilities of the investment staff primarily responsible for day-to-day portfolio management services for each Portfolio and noted the Adviser’s commitment to strong research and investment management capabilities throughout changing market environments. The Board reviewed the compliance and administrative services of the Adviser that support the investment advisory services provided to the Portfolios. The Board also considered how the organizational capabilities and financial condition of the Adviser may affect the nature and quality of its services. In that regard, the Board considered the ongoing impacts of the relocation of substantial operations of the Adviser from the New York City area to Nashville, Tennessee. The Board further reviewed updated information about the ongoing divestiture of AXA, S.A. of its holdings of AXA Equitable Holdings, Inc. (“AXA Equitable”). AXA formerly owned all of the outstanding shares of common stock of AXA Equitable, which is an indirect parent of AllianceBernstein Corporation, the general partner of the Adviser, and AXA is in the process of divesting those shares. The Board considered the statements of the Adviser that it has continued to operate as an independent, publicly-traded US asset manager, that the ongoing divestiture has not materially changed the Adviser’s current management structure or strategy, and that the Adviser does not believe that the ongoing divestiture will have a material impact on the Adviser with respect to its operations, personnel, organizational structure, or capitalization, financial and other resources.

In considering the nature and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board, including the Independent Directors, received and considered information about each Portfolio’s investment performance, as well as the performance of its peer group and the performance of an appropriate benchmark index. The Board was provided with performance data versus each Portfolio’s peer group, for the 1-year and 3-year periods ended July 31, 2019 and versus each Portfolio’s benchmark index for the 1-year, 3-year and since inception for the relevant periods, as well as the most recently available Morningstar rating for the Portfolios. The Board also received certain updated performance information as of September 30, 2019. In addition, the Directors considered information showing performance compared to peer groups and benchmarks for rolling calendar year periods and the year to date. The Directors also receive detailed comparative performance information for the Portfolios at each regular Board meeting during the year. The Board recognized that the benchmark indices do not account for fees and expenses incurred by a fund, including the Portfolios. The Directors also considered how peer groups have changed over time and how comparisons may differ depending upon the selection of the peer groups or benchmark indices.

Board's Consideration of Investment Management Arrangements *(continued)*

Where the Portfolios had underperformed their peer groups or benchmark indices, the Directors considered the Adviser's explanations for performance and, as applicable, measures the Adviser had taken or proposed to take to improve performance. The Directors noted generally the Adviser's continued efforts to enhance the services provided to the Portfolios. The Directors also noted that they would continue to monitor investment performance closely.

The Board concluded that the Adviser had the experience and resources necessary to provide services of appropriate nature, quality and scope with respect to the Portfolios.

Profitability

The Board, including the Independent Directors, considered the level of the Adviser's profits in respect of its management of the respective Portfolios. The materials provided to the Independent Directors included information indicating the profitability of the Portfolios to the Adviser for calendar years 2017 and 2018, which had been reviewed by an independent consultant. The Directors reviewed the assumptions and methods of allocation used by the Adviser in preparing fund-specific profitability data and noted that there are many potentially acceptable allocation methodologies for information of this type. The Directors noted that they received information regarding all revenues and expenses of the Adviser's relationship with the Fund, including those relating to the Adviser's subsidiaries that provide transfer agency and distribution services to the Fund, and that they had focused on profitability before taxes and distribution expenses. The Directors had also received a presentation at the July 24, 2019 Board meeting from the independent consultant who reviewed the Adviser's method of calculating profitability. The Directors reviewed comparative information regarding profitability for other publicly-traded advisers, recognizing that it is difficult to make comparisons of profitability among fund advisory contracts because only limited comparative information is publicly available and the comparisons are affected by numerous factors including different cost accounting methodologies.

After reviewing all relevant factors, the Directors, including the Independent Directors, concluded that the levels of the Adviser's profits in respect of its management of the Portfolios were not excessive.

Economies of Scale

The Board, including the Independent Directors, considered whether there have been economies of scale in respect of the management of the Portfolios, whether the Portfolios have appropriately benefited from any economies of scale, and whether there is potential for realization of any further economies of scale. The Directors discussed possible ways in which any such economies of scale may be shared with the Portfolios, including by investment in enhanced services.

The Directors also considered the Senior Analyst materials which they received in connection with the review of the Investment Management Agreement, which included information reflecting changes in asset levels of the Portfolios and in the profitability of the Adviser over various periods.

After reviewing the profitability and economies of scale information provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the benefits of any economies of scale were appropriately being shared with Portfolio investors by way of, among other things and as applicable, establishing advisory fees at levels that contemplated future achievement of scale, recent fee reductions for the International Strategic Equities Portfolio, breakpoint arrangements as currently in effect for the International Strategic Equities Portfolio, the fact that the International Small Cap and Small Cap Core Portfolios are research-intensive and may be capacity-constrained, expense caps and waivers applying to the International Small Cap and Small Cap Core Portfolios, and the Adviser's continued reinvestment in the business, including by researching and implementing new product enhancements, although the Adviser decreased its operating expenses and staff in recent years. The Directors also noted that they would continue to monitor the growth of the Portfolios.

Fall-Out Benefits and Other Revenue

The Board, including the Independent Directors, also took into account so-called "fall-out benefits" to the Adviser, such as soft dollar arrangements (whereby the Adviser receives the benefit of research services from many of the brokers and dealers that execute purchases and sales of securities on behalf of its clients on an agency basis). The Directors recognized that the Adviser's profitability would be lower without these benefits. They also considered other benefits potentially derived from an increase in the Adviser's business as a result of its relationship with the Fund. The Directors concluded that these fall-out benefits to the Adviser were acceptable.

Advisory Fee Rate Schedule

On the basis of the information considered, the Board determined to approve the continuation of the Investment Management Agreement for an additional annual term, without change to the Portfolios' contractual fee schedules, as set forth below.

ADVISORY FEE SCHEDULE

International Small Cap Portfolio	1.00% of the Portfolio's average net assets.
International Strategic Equities Portfolio	0.75% of the first \$2.5 billion of the Portfolio's average net assets; 0.65% of the excess over \$2.5 billion up to \$5 billion; and 0.60% of the excess of the Portfolio's average net assets over \$5 billion.
Small Cap Core Portfolio	0.80% of the Portfolio's average net assets.

Subsequent Event

As a result of the divestiture by AXA of a substantial portion of its holdings in AXA Equitable, the Investment Management Agreement has automatically terminated as of November 13, 2019, and a new Investment Management Agreement (approved by the Board and the shareholders of the Fund in 2018) has come into effect. The new Investment Management Agreement is identical to the prior Investment Management Agreement other than as to its date.